HNUE JOURNAL OF SCIENCE

Natural Science, 2021, Volume 66, Issue 3, pp. 3-7 This paper is available online at http://stdb.hnue.edu.vn

A NOTE ON GENERALIZED RAINBOW CONNECTION OF CONNECTED GRAPHS AND THEIR NUMBER OF EDGES

Nguyen Thi Thuy Anh¹ and Le Thi Duyen²

¹Faculty of Management Information System, Banking Academy of Vietnam, Hanoi, Vietnam ²School of Applied Mathematics and Informatics, Hanoi University of Science and Technology

Abstract. Let $l \ge 1, k \ge 1$ be two integers. Given an edge-coloured connected graph G. A path P in the graph G is called *l*-rainbow path if each subpath of length at most l + 1 is rainbow. The graph G is called (k, l)-rainbow connected if any two vertices in G are connected by at least k pairwise internally vertex-disjoint *l*-rainbow paths. The smallest number of colours needed in order to make G (k, l)-rainbow connected is called the (k, l)-rainbow connection number of G and denoted by $rc_{k,l}(G)$. In this paper, we first focus to improve the upper bound of the (1, l)-rainbow connection number depending on the size of connected graphs. Using this result, we characterize all connected graphs having the large (1, 2)-rainbow connection number. Moreover, we also determine the (1, l)-rainbow connection number in a connected graph G containing a sequence of cut-edges. *Keywords:* edge-colouring, rainbow connection, (k, l)-rainbow connection.

1. Introduction

We use [1] for terminology and notation not defined here and consider simple, finite and undirected graphs only. Let G be a graph. We denote by V(G), E(G), n(G), m(G)the vertex set, the edge set, the number of vertices, the number of edges, respectively. Let uv be an edge of G and c(uv) be its colour. A cut-edge of a graph is an edge whose deletion increases the number of components. Let p(G) denote the number of edges of the longest path in G. We abbreviate the set $\{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ by [k].

In the last years, the connection concepts of connected graphs appeared in graph theory and received much attention. They have many applications in the transmission of information in networks. Let G be a connected and edge-coloured graph.

Received September 6, 2021. Revised October 15, 2021. Accepted October 22, 2021.

Contact Nguyen Thi Thuy Anh, e-mail address: anhntt@hvnh.edu.vn

Nguyen Thi Thuy Anh and Le Thi Duyen

The first connection concept introduced by Chartrand et al. [2] is rainbow connection. A rainbow path in an edge-coloured graph G is a path P whose edges are assigned distinct colours. An edge-coloured graph G is rainbow connected if every two vertices are connected by at least one rainbow path in G. For a connected graph G, the rainbow connection number of G, denoted by rc(G), is defined as the smallest number of colours required to make it rainbow connected. After that, many researchers have studied problems with rainbow connected graph G is an NP-hard problem. Readers who are interested in this topic are referred to [4, 5].

Motivated by proper colouring and rainbow connection, Borozan et al. [6] and Andrews et al. [7], independently introduced the concept of *proper connection*. A path P in an edge-coloured graph G is a *proper path* if any two consecutive edges receive distinct colours. An edge-coloured graph G is *properly connected* if every two vertices are connected by at least one proper path in G. For a connected graph G, the *proper connection number* of G, denoted by pc(G), is defined as the smallest number of colours required to make it properly connected. Very recently, it has been shown in [8] that computing pc(G) for a given graph G is an NP-hard problem. For more details we refer to the survey [9].

Let k > 1, l > 1 be two integers. Very recently, the new concept of connection that is (k, l)-rainbow connection was defined in [11] as a generalization of rainbow connection and proper connection. A path P in an edge-coloured graph G is called an *l*-rainbow *path* if each subpath of length at most l + 1 of P is rainbow. An edge-coloured graph G is called (k, l)-rainbow connected if every two vertices are connected by at least k pairwise internally vertex-disjoint l-rainbow paths in G. For a connected graph G, the (k, l)-rainbow connection number of G, denoted by $rc_{k,l}(G)$, is defined as the smallest number of colours required to make it (k, l)-rainbow connected. From this definition, it can be readily seen that the (1, 1)-rainbow connection number of a connected graph G is actually its proper connection number, i.e $rc_{1,1}(G) = pc(G)$. Meanwhile, the (1, l)-rainbow connection number of a connected graph G can be its rainbow connection number as long as l is large enough, i.e. if G is rainbow connected then G is (1, l)-rainbow connected. Moreover, if each edge of G is assigned by exact one different colour from [m(G)] then G is rainbow connected. Let P_n be a path of order n, where $n \ge 4$. Hence, $pc(P_n) = 2$ (by Andrews et al. [7]), $rc(P_n) = n - 1$ (by Chartrand et al. [2]), $rc_{1,l}(P_n) = l + 1$, where $l \ge 2$ (by Li et al. [11]). Recently, there is a few results on this topic. By the above concepts, it can be readily seen that

$$1 \le pc(G) \le rc_{1,2}(G) \le rc_{1,3}(G) \le \ldots \le rc(G) \le m(G).$$

Moreover, $rc_{1,l}(G) = 1$ if and only if G is complete.

In this paper, we improve the upper bound of the (1, l)-rainbow connection number depending on the size of connected graphs. We investigate the (1, l)-rainbow connection number of a connected graph containing a sequence of cut-edges. Moreover, we also characterize all connected graphs having the large (1, 2)-rainbow connection number. A note on generalized rainbow connection of connected graphs and their number of edges

2. Auxiliary results

In this section, we introduce some basic notations, results and definitions that will be essential tools in the proof of our results.

Remark 2.1. Let $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_n$ be a path of order n(P) and l be a positive integer. We alternately colour all edges of P with colours from [l] that means every subpath of length at most l is rainbow.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a graph and P be a path of l edges. P is said to be a l-cut-edge of G if each edge of P is a cut-edge of the graph G.

Similar to the proper connection number and the rainbow connection number, the following proposition is easily obtained in [11].

Proposition 2.1. (*Li* et al. [11]) Let G be a nontrivial connected graph. If H is a connected spanning subgraph of G, then $rc_{1,2}(G) \leq rc_{1,2}(H)$. Particularly, $rc_{1,2}(G) \leq rc_{1,2}(T)$ for every spanning tree T of G.

By using Proposition 2.1, the authors in [11] gave the (1, 2)-rainbow connection number of the traceable graph, i.e. graphs containing a Hamiltonian path.

Proposition 2.2. (*Li et al.* [11]) Let G be a traceable graph and l be a positive integer, then $rc_{1,l}(G) \leq l + 1$. Particularly, $rc_{1,2}(G) \leq 3$.

3. Main results

First of all, we improve the upper bound of the (1, l)-rainbow connection number by the following result.

Theorem 3.1. Let G be a connected graph of size m(G) and $l \ge 2$ be an integer. If p(G) is the number of edges of a longest path in G, then $1 \le rc_{1,l}(G) \le \min\{m(G), m(G) + l + 1 - p(G)\}$.

Proof. Clearly, we only consider that p(G) > l + 1. Let $P = v_1 v_2 \dots v_{p(G)+1}$ be a longest path of G. We alternately colour all edges of P by l+1 colours. Hence, P is the l-rainbow path. There are m(G) - p(G) uncoloured edges of G. Next, each uncoloured edge of G is assigned by a new colour from $[m(G) + l + 1 - p(G)] \setminus [l+1]$. It can be readily seen that every two distinct vertices of G are connected by at least one l-rainbow path. Hence, G is the (1, l)-rainbow connected. Therefore, $rc_{1,l}(G) \le m(G) + l + 1 - p(G)$.

Our result is obtained.

Next, we determine the (1, l)-rainbow connection number of a connected graph G containing a path as an l-cut-edge, where $l \ge 1$ is a positive integer.

Nguyen Thi Thuy Anh and Le Thi Duyen



Theorem 3.2. Given a positive integer $l \ge 1$. Let G be a connected graph with a path as an l-cut-edge, say $P = v_1v_2 \dots v_{l+1}$ and H_1, H_2 be two components obtained from G by removing all vertices from $V(P) \setminus \{v_1, v_{l+1}\}$. If G_i is a connected graph such that $G_i = G[V(H_i) \cup V(P)]$, where $i \in [2]$, then $rc_{1,l}(G) = \max\{rc_{1,l}(G_1), rc_{1,l}(G_2)\}$.

Proof. First, it can be readily seen that $rc_{1,l}(G) \ge \max\{rc_{1,l}(G_1), rc_{1,l}(G_2)\}$. Let $rc_{1,l}(G_1) = k_1$ and $rc_{1,l}(G_2) = k_2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $k_1 \ge k_2$. Let $i \in [2]$ and c_i be a (1, l)-rainbow colouring of G_i with k_i colours, $(c_i(e) \in [k_i],$ for all edges $e \in E(G_i)$) such that $c_1(v_tv_{t+1}) = c_2(v_tv_{t+1}),$ where $v_t \in V(P)$ and $t \in [l]$, and $\{c_2(e) : e \in E(G_2)\} \subseteq \{c_1(e) : e \in E(G_1)\}$. Let c be an edge-colouring of G such that $c(e) = c_1(e)$ for any $e \in E(G_1)$ and $c(e) = c_2(e)$ otherwise. Clearly, c is a (1, l)-rainbow colouring of G using k_1 colours. We will show that G is the (1, l)-rainbow connected. For any two distinct vertices of G, say $u, v \in V(G_1)$ or $u, v \in V(G_2)$. Hence, we only consider that $u \in V(G_1) \setminus V(P)$ and $v \in V(G_2) \setminus V(P)$. Since c_1 is the (1, l)-rainbow colouring of G_1 , there exists a (1, l)-rainbow path connecting u and v_{l+1} . Since c_2 is the (1, l)-rainbow colouring of G_2 , there exists a (1, l)-rainbow path connecting v and v_1 . As $c_1(v_tv_{t+1}) = c_2(v_tv_{t+1})$, where $t \in [l]$, so it can be readily deduced that $P_G = uP_1v_1Pv_{l+1}P_2v$ is a (1, l)-rainbow path connecting u, v in G. Therefore, we have that $rc_{1,l}(G) \le k_1$.

Our proof is obtained.

By using Theorem 3.1, we determine all connected graphs having the large (1,2)-rainbow connection number. We use S_n to denote the star graph on n vertices and $T(n_1, n_2)$ to denote the double star in which the degrees of its adjacent center vertices are $n_1 + 1$ and $n_2 + 1$, respectively. Clearly, two graphs S_n and T_{n_1,n_2} are already mentioned in [12] but our result is different.

Proposition 3.1. Let G be a nontrivial connected graph of size m(G). Then $rc_{1,2}(G) = m(G)$ iff $G \cong S_n$, where $n \ge 2$ or $G \cong T(n_1, n_2)$, where $n_1, n_2 \ge 1$.

Proof. If $G \cong S_n$ or $G \cong T_{n_1,n_2}$ then it can be readily check that $rc_{1,2}(G) = m(G)$. So it remains to verify the converse. Let T be a spanning tree of G. Hence, $m(T) \leq m(G)$. By Proposition 2.1, $rc_{1,2}(G) \leq rc_{1,2}(T)$. Since $rc_{1,2}(G) = m(G)$, we see that $m(G) = rc_{1,2}(G) \leq rc_{1,2}(T)$. Let P be a longest path of T and p(T) be the number of edges of P. By Theorem 3.1, $rc_{1,2}(T) \leq \min\{m(T), m(T) + 3 - p(T)\}$. If p(T) > 3, then $rc_{1,2}(T) \leq m(T) + 3 - p(T)$. So $m(G) \leq m(T) + 3 - p(T)$, a contradiction to $m(T) \leq m(G)$.

Now, $p(T) \leq 3$. Since T is a tree, we conclude that $T \cong S_n$ or $T \cong T_{n_1,n_2}$ [1]. On the other hand, $m(G) = rc_{1,2}(G) \leq rc_{1,2}(T) \leq m(T)$. It can be readily deduced that $G \cong T$ i.e. G is a tree. Therefore, $G \cong S_n$ or $\cong T_{n_1,n_2}$.

Our result is obtained.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. B. West, 2001. Introduction to Graph Theory. Prentice Hall.
- [2] G. Chartrand, G. L. Johns, K. A. McKeon, P. Zhang, 2008. Rainbow connection in graphs. *Math. Bohemica*, 133, No. 1, pp. 85-98.
- [3] S. Chakraborty, E. Fischer, A. Matsliah, R. Yuster, 2011. Hardness and algorithms for rainbow connection. *J. Comb. Optim.*, 21, pp. 330-347.
- [4] X. Li, Y. Shi, Y. Sun, 2013. Rainbow connections of graphs: A survey. *Graphs & Combin.*, 29, pp. 1-38.
- [5] X. Li, Y. Sun, 2012. *Rainbow Connections of Graphs*. Springer Briefs in Math., Springer, New York.
- [6] V. Borozan, S. Fujita, A. Gerek, C. Magnant, Y. Manoussakis, L. Montero, Zs. Tuza, 2012. Proper connection of graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 312, pp. 2550-2560.
- [7] E. Andrews, E. Laforge, C. Lumduanhom, P. Zhang, 2016. On proper-path colorings in graphs. *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, 97, pp. 189-207.
- [8] F. Huang, X. Li, 2020. Hardness results for three kinds of colored connections of graphs. *Theoretical Computer Science*, Vol. 841, No. 12, pp. 27-38.
- [9] X. Li, C. Magnant, 2015. Properly colored notions of connectivity-a dynamic survey. *Theory & Appl. Graphs.*, Issue 1, Art. 2.
- Pham Ngoc Diep, 2021. A new condition for a graph having conflict free connection number 2 (in Vietnamese). *HNUE Journal of Science*, Vol. 66, pp. 25-29. DOI: 10.18173/2354-1059.2021-0003
- [11] X. Li, C. Magnant, M. Wei and X. Zhu, 2016. Distance proper connection of graphs. *arXiv:1606.06547 [math.CO]*
- [12] X. Li, C. Magnant, M. Wei, X. Zhu, 2018. Generalized rainbow connection of graphs and their complements, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 38, pp. 371-384.