# Adsorption of As(V) and As(III) from aqueous solution by lepidocrocite ( $\gamma$ -FeOOH) nanoparticle

- Nguyen Dinh Trung
- **Truong Dong Phuong** Institute of Evironmental Research, Dalat University

(Received on 1st October 2015, accepted on 2th December 2016)

#### ABSTRACT

 $\gamma$ -FeOOH nanorods an adsorbent for As(V) Kinet and As(III) removal was prepared by a chemical order co-precipitation method. The maximum FeOO adsorption capacities at pH6 for As(V) and by so As(III) were 63.75 and 88.99 mg/g, respectively, chlor higher than those of Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>... The good adsorption data accorded with Freundlich FeOO isotherms. At the study pH, for arsen, the remo adsorption equilibrium was gained after 90 min. groun **Keywords:** As (V), As(III), sorption, kinetic,  $\gamma$ -FeOOH nano

Kinetic data fitted well to the pseudo-secondorder reaction model. The adsorption of  $\gamma$ -FeOOH for As (V) and As(III) could be competed by some other ion such as sulfate, ammonium and chloride. The high adsorption capability and good performance on other aspects make the  $\gamma$ -FeOOH nanorod a promissing adsorbent for the removal of As (V) and As(III) from the groundwater.

#### INTRODUCTION

Geogenic arsen (As) contamination in the groundwater is a major health problem that has been recognized in several regions of the world, especially in Bangladesh, West Bengal [1, 2], Vietnam [3-5], Cambodia [6, 7], Myanmar [8], and Mexico, where a large proportion of groundwater is contaminated with arsen at levels from 100 to 2000  $\mu$ g L<sup>-1</sup>[9].

In natural water, arsen is primarily present in inorganic forms and exists in two predominant species, arsenate As(V) (H<sub>3</sub>AsO<sub>4</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>AsO<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>, HAsO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) and arsenic As(III) (H<sub>3</sub>AsO<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>AsO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, HAsO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>) [10, 11]. As(III) is much more toxic and mobile than As(V). However, in the groundwater in nature, after exposure to air, the majority As(III) was transferred to As(V) [12].

Iron oxides indeed have been used for arsen removal [13-17] as well as, alumina [15], zeolite, titanium dioxide [18], and akaganeite [19]. In most cases, these low cost materials were used as filters [10, 20]; while their modelling was attempted by the mechanism of surface complexation [21].

Among the possible treatment processes, the adsorption is considered to be less expensive than the membrane filtration, easier and safer to handle as compared to the contaminated sludge produced by precipitation, and more versatile than the ion exchange [22]. Adsorption process is considered to be one of the most promising technologies because the system can be simple to operate and low cost [23].

Among a variety of adsorbents for arsen removal, iron (hydro)oxides including amorphous hydrous ferric oxide, poorly crystalline hydrous ferric oxide (ferrihydrite) [24], goethite [25] and akaganeite [19] are well-known for their ability to removal inorganic arsen from aqueous system In the present study, a  $\gamma$ -FeOOH nanoparticle adsorbent was prepared by a chemical coprecipitation method, which was easy to operate and economic. The adsorbent was characterized and evaluated for its adsorption behavior of arsen. It exhibited high adsorption capacity for both As(V) and As(III).

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Materials

Stock solutions of As(V) and As(III) 1000 mg/L (Merk). The working solutions were freshly prepared by diluting  $Na_2HAsO_4$ ·7H2O and  $As_2O_3$  with bidistilled water.

 $HNO_3$  (0.1–0.5 N) and NaOH (0.1–0.5 N) were used for adjusting the pH of the arsenic solution as necessary.

The ammonium (NH+) stock solution (500 mg NH4<sup>+</sup>/L), the chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) stock solution (500 mg Cl/L) and the sulfate  $(SO_4^{2^-})$  stock solution (500 mg  $SO_4^{2^-}/L$ ) were prepared separately from ammonium chloride (NH<sub>4</sub>Cl) (Fisher, certified A.C.S.) and sodium sulfate (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) (Fisher, certified A.C.S.). Both solutions were used as the competing ions in some arsenic adsorption experiments.

Arsen in solutions was measured with Atomic Absorption Spectrometer (AA 7000 – HVG1 Shimadzu).

All adsorption data were analysed by the Originlab 8.5.1 software.

#### Methods

#### Preparation of y-FeOOH

The  $\gamma$ -FeOOH adsorbent was prepared according to the following procedure [33]:

Dissolve 12 g FeCl<sub>2</sub>  $4H_2O$  in 300 mL distilled water with vigorous stirring. The beaker should be equipped with a glass electrode connected to a pH meter, a gas inlet connecting an air or oxygen cylinder and a dropping funnel containing 125 mL 1M NaOH. Adjust the pH of the system to 6.5- 6.8 by adding NaOH dropwise, then open the gas cylinder and aerate the air blowing rate 2 L/min. The initial greenish black precipitate becomes orange after 20 min. Throughout the reaction, the pH of the suspension must be maintained at 6.5-6.8, by adding NaOH from the dropping funnel as needed, centrifuge, wash and dry. The dried material was stored in a desiccator for use.

#### y-FeOOH nanoparticle

Powder X-ray diffraction (XRD) was recorded on a Scintag-XDS-2000 diffractometer with Cu K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda$ =1.54059), scan rate at 2 $\theta$ of 44.9°. Sample morphology was detected by scanning electron microscopy (SEM) on Hitachi H-7500.

#### Batch sorption tests

To determine the amount of adsorbed arsen (As(V) or As(III)) under the given conditions, approximately 0.1 g of adsorbent was weighed and placed in a 250-mL Erlenmeyer flask. One hundred millilitres of As(V) or As(III) solution was added into the flask. The concentration of the As(V) or As(III) solution ranged from 40 to 1000 mg/L depending on the type of experiment. Ionic strength was not adjusted during the absorption. The flask was capped and shaken at 180 rpm on an orbital shaker for 24 h to ensure the approximate equilibrium. All batch experiments were conducted at room temperature (20 °C) unless stated otherwise. The pH was manually maintained at a designated value pH= 6.0 in such a way: pH was initially adjusted to a defined value with 0.01 N HNO3 and NaOH and then measured and adjusted at an interval of 2 h. After 24 h of the period reaction, all samples were centrifuged at 10.000 rpm for 5 minutes and filtered through a 0.45-µm membrane filter and the filtrate was analyzed for arsen. This procedure was used in all adsorption experiments for evaluating isotherms and interferences of competing ions, except for kinetic experiments. The quantity of adsorbed arsen was calculated by the difference of the initial and residual amounts of arsen in the solution divided by the weight of the adsorbent.

The amount of adsorbed metal was calculated from the following expression:

$$q = V (C_i - C_e)/B$$

Where q is the metal uptake or sorption capacity of adsorbent (in mg/g of adsorbent);  $C_i$  and  $C_e$  are the metal concentrations before and after adsorption, respectively, B is the mass of adsorbent used and V the solution volume used.

The pseudo-first-order adsorption and pseudo-second-order adsorption were used to test the adsorption kinetics data. The pseudo-firstorder rate expression of Lagergern is given as [27].

 $\log (q_e - q_t) = \log q_e - \frac{k_1}{2.303}t \quad (1)$ 

or

$$\ln(q_e - q_t) = \ln q_e - k_1 t$$

Where  $q_e$  and  $q_t$  are the amount of arsenic adsorbed on adsorbent (mg/g) at equilibrium and time, and  $k_1$  is the rate constant of pseudo-firstorder adsorption. The pseudo-second-order rate model is expressed as [28]:

$$\frac{t}{q_t} = \frac{1}{k_2 q_e^2} + \frac{1}{q_e} t$$
 (2)

Where  $k_2$  is the constant of pseudo-secondorder rate (g/mg·min). The experimental data of  $q_e$ ,  $q_t$  and  $k_2$  can be determined from the slope and the intercept of the plot of t/q<sub>t</sub> against t.

Studies of adsorption isotherm effect

Experiments for studying the arsenic adsorption isotherm were conducted at 20 °C and

Trang 272

pH= 6.0 by following the batch adsorption procedure. A series of different initial concentrations of As(V) or As(III) solutions (40– 1000 mg/L) at pH= 6.0 were used. For estimating the thermodynamic parameters of arsenic adsorption, the isotherm experiments were also conducted at 20 °C.

#### Studies of adsorption time effect

The effects of time on arsenic adsorption were examined in a series of batch sorption experiments that used the same initial As(V) or As(III) concentration (100 mg/L) while maintaining the time at different values from 0 to 180 minutes.

#### Adsorption kinetics studies

Arsenic adsorption kinetics was evaluated at 20 °C and pH= 6.0. The initial As(V) or As(III) solution concentrations were 100 mg As/L. The kinetic experiments were conducted in a 250-mL flask. The flask was shaken at 180 rpm. With this experimental setup the temperature of the solution inside the flask was well maintained at 20 °C, pH was maintained at around pH= 6.0.

#### Arsenic adsorption with competing other ions

The interference of ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$ , chloride (Cl<sup>-</sup>) and sulfate (SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>) on As(V) or As(III) adsorption was evaluated in batch experiments, respectively. The experimental method was similar to the batch adsorption method described previously. The difference was that the arsenic working solutions for these competing adsorption experiments were prepared with the separate addition of ammonium, chloride and sulfate solutions into the arsen solution. The initial addition of arsen was 100 mg/g adsorbent using an arsenic solution in 100 mg As/L and the pH was maintained at approximately pH= 6.0. The concentrations of the competing anions used in the experiments were from 1 to 120 mg/L for ammonium, chloride and sulfate.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Characterization of γ-FeOOH adsorbent

Lepidocrocite nanoparticles applied in this work consisted mainly of  $\gamma$ -FeOOH, characterized by the basic reflection appearing at  $2\theta$  of 44.9°, as shown in the XRD diagram in (Fig. 1A) and (Fig. 1B)

This is evident from the XRD diagram in Fig. 1B, where the oxide appears in the form of

lepidocrocite ( $\gamma$  -FeOOH) and hematite ( $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) (Fig. 1B). The  $\alpha$ -Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> percentage is very low. It is a by product of the synthesis process, and thus the corresponding peaks might be of  $\gamma$  -FeOOH (Fig. 1A).

A typical SEM image of the prepared sample was shown in Fig. 2, which reveals that the Lepidocrocite was a nanorod with the diameter of 20 nm and the length of 100 nm.







Figure 2. The SEM of  $\gamma$ -FeOOH samples

#### **Batch sorption tests**

#### Adsorption isotherm of y-FeOOH adsorbent

The adsorbents were tested for adsorption of As(V) and As(III), as shown in Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B. The sorption capacity of As(III) by  $\gamma$ -FeOOH was higher than As(V) and the sorption capacity of  $\gamma$ -FeOOH was high compared to goethite (72.4 mg/g) [29].

The Langmuir expression was:

$$q = \frac{q_m b C_e}{1 + b C_e}$$

Where q is the amount of As(V) adsorbed,

mg/g; q<sub>m</sub> the maximum As(V) and As(III) uptake value corresponding to sites saturation, mg/g; Ce the equilibrium As(V) and As(III) concentration in solution, mg/L; and b is the ratio of adsorption/desorption rate. The result sorption of As(V) and As(III) by  $\gamma$ -FeOOH was shown in the Table 1.

The Freundlich expression was:

$$q_e = K C_e^{\frac{1}{n}}$$

K = equilibrium constant indicative of adsorption capacity

n = adsorption equilibrium constant



**Figure 3.** A) Langmuir and Freundlich sorption isotherm of As(V) on γ-FeOOH; B) Langmuir and Freundlich sorption isotherm of As(III) on γ-FeOOH



The adsorption isotherm of  $\gamma$ -FeOOH for As(V) and As(III) were presented in Fig. 3A and Fig. 3B. In this study, both Langmuir and Freundlich isotherms were used to describe the adsorption isotherms. The adsorption constants obtained from the isotherms are listed in Table 1. The correlation coefficient (R<sup>2</sup>) values of the Langmuir isotherms for As(V) was 0.92 and for As(III) was 0.89, while that of the Freundlich isotherms for As(V) was 0.93 and for As(III) was

0.98. High regression coefficients suggested that the Freundlich model was suitable for describing the adsorption behavior of As(V) and As(III) by  $\gamma$ -FeOOH.

The coefficient value  $(R^2)$  of the Freundlich isotherms for As(III) was higher than  $(R^2)$  value of Langmuir isotherms, in the sorption system due to a part of As(III) was transferred to As(V) [12].

Table 1. Langmuir and Freundlich isotherm parameters for As(V) and As(III) adsorption on  $\gamma$ -FeOOH adsorbent at pH= 6.0

Langmuir model			
As species	$q_m (mg/g)$	b (L/mg)	$R^2$
As(V)	63.75	0.90	0.92
As(III)	88.99	1.01	0.89
Freundlich model			
As species	K <sub>F</sub> (L/mg)	n	$R^2$
As(V)	9.88	3.64	0.93
As(III)	16.95	3.84	0.98

### Adsorption kinetics of As(V) by $\gamma$ -FeOOH adsorbent

The kinetics of adsorption is one of the important characteristics that define the adsorption efficiency. Hence, in the present study, the kinetics of arsenic adsorption was analyzed to understand the adsorption behavior of  $\gamma$ -FeOOH. Fig. 4 shows the adsorption data of As(V) and As(III) by  $\gamma$ -FeOOH at different time

intervals. The adsorptions of both As(V) and As(III) by  $\gamma$ -FeOOH were found to be time dependent. The adsorption of As(V) and As(III) was rapid for the first 45 min, when the removal rate reached 87 % for As(V), and 76 % for As(III), the removal reach was 97 %, after 90 min and the adsorption equilibrium was approached for both of As(V) and As(III).



Figure 4. Time effect sorption of As (V) and As(III) by  $\gamma$ -FeOOH

Table 2, lists of the results of rate constant studies for As(V) and As(III) by pseudo-firstorder and pseudo-second-order. The values of correlation coefficient R<sup>2</sup> for the pseudo-firstorder adsorption model are 0.97 for As(V) and 0.96 for As(III), (Fig. 5) and the adsorption capacities calculated by the model are different to those determined by experiments. The values of  $R^2$  for the pseudo-second-order are extremely high (>0.99) (Fig. 6), for both As(V) and As(III), the experimental data fitted the pseudo-secondorder model better than the pseudo-first-order model. Therefore, it can be concluded that the pseudo-second-order model is more suitable to describe the adsorption kinetics of arsenic on y-FeOOH. The rate of adsorption depends on the driving force and concentration gradient. In case of pseudo-first-order the rate is proportional to the concentration ( $\Delta$ C) and in pseudo-secondorder, it is proportional to the square of concentration gradient ( $\Delta$ C<sup>2</sup>) which refers to both external as well as internal mass transfer [30]. This evidence shows that both the external and internal mass transfer is taken place. The As(V) ions existe as negative ions [31] in the experimental conditions. Therefore, As(V) ions may be easy to diffuse into the external and internal adsorption sites of adsorbent. So there was fast removal rate percentage of As(V) before 45 min. This was not contradictory with the adsorption isotherms.



Figure 5. Pseudo-first-order model adsorption of As(V) and As(III) by  $\gamma$ -FeOOH



Figure 6. Pseudo-second-order model adsorption of As(V) and As(III) by  $\gamma\text{-}FeOOH$ 

Tuble 2. Composition of pseudo mist and pseudo second order adsorption rate constants						
	Pseudo-first-order model					
As species	$q_{e. exp} (mg/g)$	$k_1 (min^{-1})$	$q_{e. cal} (mg/g)$	$\mathbb{R}^2$		
As(V)	61.79	0.03	58.17	0.97		
As(III)	88.68	0.04	91.33	0.96		
	Pseudo-second-order model					
As species	$q_{e. exp} (mg/g)$	$k_2(g/mg \cdot min)$	qe.cal (mg/g)	$\mathbb{R}^2$		

63.75

88.93

0.02

0.02

As(V)

As(III)

61.79

88.68

Table 2. Composition of pseudo-first and pseudo-second-order adsorption rate constants

0.99

0.99

#### Effect of other constituents on arsenic removal

In natural groundwater or waste water several component might exist, which could compete with arsen for the available adsorption sites or interact with arsenic itself. In this study we select some ions (Cl<sup>-</sup>,  $SO_4^{2-}$ , and  $NH_4^+$ ) to test the effect of co-existing constituents. Fig. 7A and Fig. 7B show the results of the effect of coexisting ions. Cl<sup>-</sup> had little or no effect on the arsen removal performance of the adsorbent. This is probably that the ions do not compete with the arsen ions. The oxyanions  $SO_4^{2-}$  were selected to assess the effects of co-existing anions on As(V) and As(III) removal. At pH 6, the effects of those oxyanions increased the concentration level were illustrated in(Fig. 7A and 7B). The NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> also had little effect on the arsenate removal performance of the adsorbent. This result is in agreement with previous studies [32].



**Figure 7.** A) Other constituents effect sorption of As(V) by γ-FeOOH; B) Other constituents effect sorption of As(III) by γ-FeOOH

#### CONCLUSION

A novel  $\gamma$ -FeOOH nanorod an effective adsorbent for As(V) and As(III) removal, has been prepared by a chemical co-precipitation method. At pH 6 the maximum adsorption capacities for As(V) and As(III) were 63.75 and 88.99 mg/g respectively. At this pH, for arsen, the removal rate reached 95 % after 90 min. In order to reveal useful informations for the sorption mechanism, typical adsorption isotherms (Langmuir and Freundlich) were determined and X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy analysis was used. The mechanism of the removal seemed rather to be a chemisorption, based on the kinetics sorption.

The sulfate was a competitor with arsenic for adsorptive sites on the adsorbent. These results indicated that the  $\gamma$ -FeOOH nanorod was an attractive adsorbent for the removal of arsenic from aqueous solutions.

## Hấp thu As(V) và As(III) từ dung dịch nước bởi lepidocrocite (γ-FeOOH) dạng nano

- Nguyễn Đình Trung
- Trương Đông Phương

Viện nghiên cứu Môi trường, Trường Đại học Đà Lạt

#### TÓM TẮT

 $\gamma$ -FeOOH dạng nano dùng làm vật liệu hấp phụ As(V) và As(III) được điều chế bằng phương pháp đồng kết tủa. Tại pH = 6,0, dung lượng hấp phụ cực đại của vật liệu đối với As(V) và As(III)lần lượt là 63,75 và 88,99 mg/g, cao hơn so với một số vật liệu làm chất hấp phụ arsen như Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>. Mô hình hấp phụ đẳng nhiệt Freundlich mô tả quá trình hấp phụ As(v) và As(III) bởi  $\gamma$ -FeOOH, thời gian đạt cân bằng hấp phụ là 90 phút. Động học hấp phụ tuân theo **Từ thán**: As(V): As(III) hấp phụ đồng học hấp phụ phương trình động học hấp phụ bậc 2. Quá trình hấp phụ của γ-FeOOH đối với As(V) và As(III) có thể bị cạnh tranh bởi các ion khác như sulfate, ammonium và chloride (theo thứ tự giảm dần). Vật liệu γ-FeOOH dạng nano, với dung lượng hấp phụ As(V) và As(III) cực đại, việc điều chế dễ dàng với giá thành thấp, là chất hấp phụ đầy tiềm năng trong việc xử lý arsen trong nước ngầm.

Từ khóa: As(V); As(III), hấp phụ, động học hấp phụ, γ-FeOOH nano

#### REFERENCES

- R. Nickson, J. McArthur, W. Burgess, K.M. Ahmed, P. Ravenscroft, M. Rahman, Arsenic poisoning of Bangladesh groundwater, *Nature*, 395, 338–339 (1998).
- B. [2]. U. Chowdhury, Biswas. T. Chowdhury, G. Samanta, G. Basu, C. Chanda, K. Lodh, S. Mukherjee, S. Roy, Kabir. S. Q. Quamruzzaman, D. Chakraborti. Groundwater arsenic contamination in Bangladesh and West Bengal, India, Environmental Health Perspectives, 108, 393-397 (2000).
- [3]. M. Berg, H.C. Tran, T.C. Nguyen, H.V. Pham, R. Schertenleib, W. Giger, Arsenic contamination of groundwater and drinking water in Vietnam: a human health threat, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 35, 2621–2626 (2001).
- [4]. M. Berg, H.C. Tran, T.C. Nguyen, M.V. Pham, Magnitude of arsenic pollution in

the Mekong and RedRiverDeltas-Cambodia and Vietnam,Science ofthe TotalEnvironment,372,413–425(2006).

- [5]. M. Berg, P.T.K. Trang, C. Stengel, J. Buschmann, P.H. Viet, W. Giger, D. Stüben, Hydrological and sedimentary controls leading to arsenic Hanoi Area, Vietnam: The impact of iron-arsenic ratios, peat, river bank deposits, and excessive groundwater abstraction, *Chemical Geology*, 249, 91–112 (2008).
- [6]. D.A. Polya, A.G. Gault, N. Diebe, P. Feldman, J.W. Rosenboom, E. Gilligan, D. Fredericks, A.H. Milton, M. Sampson, H.A.L. Rowland, P.R. Lythgoe, J.C. Jones, C. Middleton, D.A. Cooke, Arsenic hazard in shallow Cambodian groundwaters, *Mineralogical Magazine*, 69, 807–823, (2005).

- [7]. J. Buschmann, M. Berg, C. Stengel, L. Winkel, M.K. Sampson, P.T.K. Trang, P.H. Viet, Contamination of drinking water resources in the Mekong delta floodplains: arsenic and other trace metals pose serious health risks to population, *Environment International*, 34, 756–764 (2008).
- [8]. L. Winkel, M. Berg, M. Amini, S.J. Hug, C.A. Johnson, Predicting groundwater arsenic contamination in Southeast Asia from surface parameters, *Nature Geoscience*, 1, 536–542 (2008).
- [9]. P.L. Smedley, D.G. Kinniburgh, A review of the source, behaviour and distribution of arsenic in natural waters, *Applied Geochemistry*, 17, 5, 517–568 (2002).
- [10]. J.F. Ferguson, J. Gavis, A review of the arsenic cycle in natural waters, *Water Research*, 6, 11, 1259–1274 (1972).
- [11]. B.A. Manning, Hunt, M.L. C. Amrhein, J.A. Yarmoff, Arsenic(III) and arsenic(V) reactions with zerovalent iron corrosion products, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 36, 24, 5455–5461 (2002).
- [12]. W.R. Cullen, K.J. Reimer, Arsenic speciation in the environment, *Chemical Reviews*, 89, 4, 713–764 (1989).
- [13]. S.R. Kanel, B. Charlet, L. Choi, Removal of As(III) from groundwater by nanoscale zerovalent iron, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39, 1291–1298 (1989).
- [14]. S.R. Kanel, J.M. Greneche, H. Choi, As(V) removal from ground water using nanoscale zerovalent iron as a colloidal reactive barrier material, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40, 2040–2045, (2006).
- [15]. B. Dousova, T. Grygar, A. Martaus, L. Fuitova, D. Kolousek, V. Machovi, Adsorption of As(V) on aluminosilicates treated with Fe(II) nanoparticles, *Journal*

of Colloid and Interface Science, 302, 424–431 (2006).

- [16]. K. Hristovski, A. Baumgardener, P. Westerhoff, Selecting metal oxide nanomaterials for arsenic removal in fixed bed columns: From nanoparticles to aggregated nanoparticles media, *Journal* of Hazardous Materials, 147, 265–274 (2007).
- [17]. J.T. Mayo, C. Yavuz, S. Yean, L. Cong, H. Shipley, W. Yu, J. Falkner, A. Kan, M. Tomson, V.L. Colvin, The effect of nanocrystalline magnetite size on arsenic removal, *Science and Technology of Advanced Materials*, 8, 71–75 (2007).
- [18]. M.E. Pena, G.P. Koratis, M. Patel, L. Lippincott, X. Meng, Adsorption of As(V) and As(III) by nanocrystalline titanium dioxide, *Water Research*, 39, 2327–2337 (2005).
- [19]. E.A. Deliyanni, L.K. Nalbandian, A. Matis, Adsorptive removal of arsenites by a nanocrystalline hybrid surfactantakaganeite sorbent, *Journal of Colloid and Interface Science*, 302, 458–466 (2006).
- [20]. O.X. Leupin, S.J. Hug, A.B.M. Badruzzaman, Arsenic removal from Bangladesh tube well water with filter columns containing zerovalent iron filings and sand, *Environmental Science* & *Technology*, 39, 8032–8037 (2005).
- [21]. M. Lehmann, A.I. Zouboulis, K.A. Matis, A. Grohmann, Adsorption of arsenic oxyanions from aqueous solution on goethite: a study of process modelling, *Microchimica Acta*, 151, 269–275 (2005).
- [22]. X. Guo, F. Chen, Removal of arsenic by bead cellulose loaded with iron oxyhydroxide from groundwater, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39, 17, 6808–6818 (2005).
- [23]. M. Jang, S.H. Min, T.H. Kim, J.K. Park, Removal of arsenite and arsenate using

hydrous ferric oxide incorporated into naturally occurring porous diatomite, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 40, 5, 1636–1643 (2006).

- [24]. Y. Jia, G.P. Demopoulos, Adsorption of arsenate onto ferrihydrite from aqueous solution: influence of media (Sulfate vs Nitrate), added gypsum, and pH alteration, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39, 24, 9523–9527 (2006).
- [25]. S. Fendorf, M.J. Eick, P. Grossl, D.L. Sparks, Arsenate and chromate retention mechanisms on goethite. 1. Surface structure, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 31, 2, 315–320 (1997).
- [26]. X. Guo, F. Chen, Removal of arsenic by bead cellulose loaded with iron oxyhydroxide from groundwater, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 39, 17, 6808–6818 (2005).
- [27]. M.S. Chiou, H.Y. Li, Adsorption behavior of reactive dye in aqueous solution on chemical cross-linked chitosan beads, *Chemosphere*, 50, 8, 1095–1105 (2003).
- [28]. Y.S. Ho, G. McKay, Pseudo-second order model for sorption processes, *Process Biochemistry*, 34, 5, 451–465 (1999).

- [29]. K.A. Matis, A.I. Zouboulis, D. Zamboulis, A.V. Valtadorou, Sorption of As(V) by goethite particles and study of their flocculation, *Water, Air & Soil Pollution*, 111, 297–316 (1999).
- [30]. A.K. Jain, V.K. Gupta, A. Bhatnagar, Suhas, Utilization of industrial waste products as adsorbents for the removal of dyes, *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, 101, 1, 31–42 (2003).
- [31]. Y. Kim, C. Kim, I. Choi, S. Rengaraj, J. Yi, Arsenic removal using mesoporous alumina prepared via a templating method, *Environmental Science & Technology*, 38, 3, 924–931 (2004).
- [32]. G. Zhang, J. Qu, H. Liu, R. Liu, R. Wu, Preparation and evaluation of a novel Fe-Mn binary oxide adsorbent for effective arsenite removal, *Water Research*, 41, 9, 1921–1928 (2007).
- [33]. U. Schwertmann, H. Fechter, The formation of green rust and its transformation to lepidocrocite, *Clay Min*, 29, 87–92 (1994).