STUDY ON THE EFFECT OF NATURAL BACKGROUND FOR GAMMA SPECTROMETER SYSTEM

Tran Thien Thanh⁽¹⁾, Chau Van Tao⁽¹⁾, Hoang Duc Tam⁽²⁾, Vo Thi Hong Yen⁽³⁾ (1) University of Science, VNU-HCM;

(2) Ho Chi Minh City University of Pedagogy; (3) Can Tho University (Received March 21th, 2011, Accepted April 13rd, 2012)

ABSTRACT: In the analysis of environmental radioactive isotopes using gamma spectrometry, natural background radiation is an important parameter related to the analytical results directly. Therefore, in this work, the influence of natural background radiation was studied for two models: with and without shielding of gamma spectrometer system. The initial results showed that the minimum detectable activity (MDA) of radionuclides such as ²³⁴Th, ²²⁶Ra, ²¹²Pb, ²⁰⁸Tl, ⁴⁰K, ²¹⁴Pb, ²¹⁴Bi, ²²⁸Ac have the difference of two models from 10% to 503%. This is the basis for researches to improve the lead shielding chamber in the future.

Keyword: background, lead shielding, gamma spectrometer system

INTRODUCTION

Environmental background radiation affects to critical limit (L_C) of detectors, the lower background radiation the higher sensitivity of detector. For making low background radiation, it's necessary to test the origin of background radiation and then find out methods for decreasing an undesired contribution of background radiation. Besides, to measure the radioactivity of environmental sample exactly, the activity of radionuclides of environmental background radiation is as low as possible. Environmental background radiation has the natural origin and they emit mainly from ²³⁵U, ²³⁸U, ²³²Th (and their daughters) and ⁴⁰K radionuclides. When they get the radioactivity equilibrium, radioactivity of ²³⁸U and ²³²Th radionuclides can be calculated through the radioactivity of their daughters. Decay diagrams of ²³⁸U and ²³²Th chains are showed in Fig. 1 (1a and 1b).

Therefore, to decrease the influence of environmental background radiation on counting sample, both of measured sample and detector are put inside the lead shielding chamber. In this work, we will study the influence of natural background radiation in two experimental setups: with and without shielding of the gamma spectrometer system.



Fig. 1a.Decay diagram of ²³⁸U chain



Fig. 1b. Decay diagram of ²³²Th chain

The limits of gamma spectrometer system are:

- Critical limit (L_C)

Limit level in which it helps us to make decision that whether there are peaks in samples or not is as follows:

$$L_{\rm C} = 1.645 \sqrt{\left[B\left(1+\frac{n}{2m}\right)\right]} \tag{1}$$

- Detection limit (L_D)

 L_C minimum limit is only for the boundary between the background count or the effects of radiation. When the total number of channels used by an estimated width of the background peak of interest (n = 2m):

$$L_{\rm D} = 2.71 + 4.65 \sqrt{\left[B\left(1 + \frac{n}{2\,\mathrm{m}}\right)\right]} \quad (2)$$

In equation (1) & (2), m, n and B is the number of channels of background spectrum at both of side of peak, number of channels in range of interested peaks and background, respectively.

- Minimum Detectable Activity (MDA)

MDA is the minimum value of activity of radionuclides in which the hyper germanium (HPGe) spectrometer system can measure the gamma rays emitting from sample with given reliability, given by the following formula:

$$MDA = \frac{L_{D}}{\varepsilon_{p}.I_{\gamma}.t.m}$$
(3)

Where MDA, L_D , ε_P , I_γ , t and m are minimum detectable activity (Bq/kg), detection limit, full energy peak efficiency, gamma-ray emission probability, acquisition time (s) and sample mass (kg), respectively.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Germanium Spectrometer System

Low background spectrometer system includes HPGe detector that it is put inside lead

shielding chamber and connected with electrical instruments. Gamma-rays emitting from radionuclides of sample will be measured by HPGe detector. Signals are amplified preliminarily when they go through preamplifier and then will be amplified at amplifier. Finally, they will be showed on display as gamma energy spectrum.

Detector

The gamma-ray spectra were measured with a spectrometer based on a p-type coaxial HPGe semiconductor detector (G2018) with aluminium window and side cap. The performance and geometry of detector are shown in Table 1

	22.4 %	
Energy reso	1.76keV	
	55:1	
Geometrical parameters of the detector	Window thickness	1.5 mm
	Crystal-window distance	5.0 mm
	Crystal dead layer thickness	0.86mm
	Crystal length	49.5 mm
	Crystal diameter	52.0 mm
	Crystal hole depth	35.0 mm
	Crystal hole diameter	7.0 mm
	Side cap thickness	1.5 mm
	Side cap diameter (external)	76.2 mm

Table 1. The parameters of HPGe detector

Lead shielding

Lead is the kind of material with high Z, so it can reduce the background radiation inside the lead shielding chamber. However, the interaction between gamma-rays and lead material is origin of the appearance X-rays in low energy range. Therefore, we used 1 mm layer of tin and 1.5mm layer of copper and put them inside lead shielding chamber in order to absorb these X-rays.

Structure of lead shielding chamber and technical parameters are showed in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2.Cross-section view of detector-shield setup (unit of centimeter)

Efficiency Calibration

In this work, we use the RGU standard sample to form the curve of efficiency versus energy. Specific activity of RGU is 4940 \pm 30 Bq/kg. RGU sample is packed in the cylindrical container (4.7 cm x 7.5 cm diam.). The container was sealed with adhesive tape and left for at least 4 weeks (7 haft-lives of ²²²Rn) before counting by gamma spectrometry in order to ensure that daughter products of ²²⁶Ra up to ²¹⁰Pb achieve equilibrium with their parent radionuclides, respectively.

A general efficiency curve (efficiency versus energy) was obtained by fitting a log-log

polynomial function to experimental values obtained using RGU sample.

$$\log(\varepsilon(E)) = \sum_{i=0}^{6} a_i \left(\log(E)\right)^i \tag{4}$$

Where, $\epsilon(E)$, E and a_i are efficiency of detector to full energy peaks, energy and fitting parameters, respectively.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In this experimental setup, open-top lead shielding is compared with close-top lead shielding. Fig. 3 shows gamma spectrum with time acquisition of 3 days (259200 seconds) in two experiments: with and without shielding.



Fig 3. Spectrum of environmental background radiation: with and without shielding

Nuclide	Energy (keV)	Net Area	Efficiency(ɛ)	Relative uncertaint
²³⁴ Th	63.3	41345	0.00015631	3.97
²³⁴ Th	92.8	95384	0.00062896	3.72
²²⁶ Ra	186.2	105744	0.00042170	3.69
²¹⁴ Pb	241.9	172555	0.00033659	3.69
²¹⁴ Pb	295.2	370093	0.00028494	3.68
²¹⁴ Pb	351.9	628919	0.00025046	3.68
²¹⁴ Bi	609.3	435522	0.00013573	3.68
²¹⁴ Bi	665.4	12157	0.00011265	4.08
²¹⁴ Pb	785.9	9510	0.00012671	4.25
²¹⁴ Bi	806.1	9290	0.00010436	4.27
²¹⁴ Bi	806.1	9290	0.00010436	4.27
²¹⁴ Bi	1120.3	87344	0.00008305	3.69
²¹⁴ Bi	1238.4	31387	0.00007631	3.74
²¹⁴ Bi	1377.9	22276	0.00007959	3.79
²¹⁴ Bi	1401.5	6579	0.00007013	4.14
²¹⁴ Bi	1407.9	11431	0.00006784	3.91
²¹⁴ Bi	1509.2	9956	0.00006633	4.08
²¹⁴ Bi	1661.3	4614	0.00006242	4.42
²¹⁴ Bi	1729.6	14465	0.00007211	3.81
²¹⁴ Bi	1764.5	68694	0.00006361	3.70

Fable 2 Efficiency of detector to full anonax neals in range from 62.2 keV to 1764.5 k	
Table 2. Efficiency of detector to run energy deak in fange from 05.5 keV to 1/04.5 k	εV

Trang 20



Fig 4. The curve of efficiency of detector to cylindrical sample used in the experiment Finally, using formula (3), we determined MDA of the radionuclides in 238 U, 232 Th and 40 K chains in two cases: with and without shielding. The results are shown in Table 3 and Fig. 5.

Nuclide	Energy (keV)	MDA(Bq/kg) With shielding 3 days (1)	MDA (Bq/kg) Without shielding 3 days (2)	Ratio (2)/(1)
²³⁴ Th	63.3	1780.84	1948.62	1.09
²²⁶ Ra	186.6	38.93	58.98	1.52
²¹² Pb	238.6	3.44	14.27	4.15
²²⁸ Ac	338.3	8.86	40.80	4.61
²¹⁴ Pb	351.9	4.46	20.67	4.64
²¹⁴ Pb	295.2	6.07	26.52	4.37
²⁰⁸ Tl	583.2	2.60	13.08	5.03
²¹⁴ Bi	609.3	8.69	26.39	3.04
²¹⁴ Bi	1120.3	28.12	64.75	2.30
²²⁸ Ac	911.2	15.32	52.58	3.43
⁴⁰ K	1460.8	109.41	410.96	3.76

Table 3. The values of MDA of radionuclides in the two cases: with and without shielding.



Fig 5. The comparison of MDA for radionuclides of ²³⁸U and ²³²Th chains in two experiments: with and without shielding.

From the data in Table 3, it is showed that MDA of the radionuclides in the environmental background with shielding is lower from 1.09 to 5.03 times than that of without shielding, significantly daughter radionuclides of radon in ²³⁸U ²³²Th chains. two and Therefore, decreasing contribution the of the environmental background radiation (with shielding) helps us to determine easily the radionuclides in the measured samples. Besides, with shielding, it will also decrease the environmental background radiation in low energy range. This also helps us to determine easily the radionuclides emitting gamma rays in low energy range.

CONCLUSION

This is the basis for researches to improve lead shielding chamber by adding lead and copper layers into the chamber in order to reduce background radiation to increase L_D as well as to reduce MDA in the low energy range in the future.

NGHIÊN CỨU ẢNH HƯỞNG CỦA PHÔNG ĐỐI VỚI HỆ PHỔ KẾ GAMMA

Trần Thiện Thanh ⁽¹⁾, Châu Văn Tạo⁽¹⁾, Hoàng Đức Tâm⁽²⁾, Võ Thị Hồng Yến⁽³⁾

(1)Trường Đại học Khoa học Tự nhiên, ĐHQG-HCM(2) Trường Đại học Sư phạm Tp. HCM; (3) Đại học Cần Thơ

TÓM TÅ**T**: Nghiên cứu đồng vị phóng xạ trong môi trường sử dụng hệ phổ kế gamma thì phông bức xạ tự nhiên là một tham số quan trọng liên quan trực tiếp đến kết quả phận tích. Vì vậy, trong công trình này, ảnh hưởng của phông bức xạ tự nhiên được nghiên cứu cho hai mô hình có che chắn và không che chắn của hệ phổ kế gamma. Kết quả bước đầu đã chỉ ra rằng hoạt độ nhỏ nhất mà hệ phổ kế gamma phông thấp sử dụng đầu dò HPGe có thể phát hiện được (MDA) đối với các đồng vị phóng xạ như ²³⁴Th, ²²⁶Ra, ²¹²Pb, ²²⁸Ac, ²¹⁴Pb, ²⁰⁸Tl, ²¹⁴Bi và ⁴⁰K theo hai mô hình có che chắn và không che chắn có độ sai biệt từ 10% đến 503%. Đây là cơ sở cho việc nghiên cứu để cải tiến hệ buồng chì che chắn trong tương lai.

Từ khóa: phông, buồng chì che chắn, hệ phổ kế gamma.

REFERENCES

- R. Gordon , Gilmore, *Practical Gamma*ray Spectrometry, 2nd Edition, Nuclear Training Services Ltd Warrington, UK (2008).
- [2]. E. M. El Afifi, M. A. Hilal, S. M. Khalifa, H. F. Aly, Evaluation of U, Th, K and emanated radon in some NORM

and TENORM samples, Radiation measurements, 41, 627-633 (2005).

- [3]. T. P. Dũng, C. V. Tạo, N. H. Dương, *Phương pháp ghi bức xạ ion hóa*, NXB Đại học Quốc Gia TP, Hồ Chí Minh (2005).
- [4]. <u>http://www.nuclide.org</u>.