

VỀ SỰ TỒN TẠI NGHIỆM YẾU CỦA BÀI TOÁN KRICHHOFF THỨ VỚI SỐ MŨ TỐI HẠN

Phạm Thị Thủy^{1*}, Đỗ Thị Mai Hương²

¹Trường Đại học Sư phạm – ĐH Thái Nguyên

²Trường Cao đẳng Sư phạm Thái Nguyên

TÓM TẮT

Trong bài báo này, chúng tôi nghiên cứu sự tồn tại nghiệm yếu của bài toán Krichhoff chứa toán tử tích vi phân:

$$\begin{cases} -(a + b\|u\|_{X_0}^2)\mathcal{L}_K u & = f(x, u) + \lambda u + \gamma|u|^{2^*_s-2}u \text{ trong } \Omega, \\ u & = 0 \text{ trong } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

Trong đó $\lambda, \gamma > 0$ là các tham số thực và Ω là một miền mở bị chặn trong \mathbb{R}^3 với biên $\partial\Omega$ Lipschitz, $s \in (3/4, 1)$, f là hàm liên tục thỏa mãn một số điều kiện thích hợp.

Từ khóa: điều kiện Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz, toán tử Laplace thứ, định lý Mountain Pass, bài toán kiểu Krichhoff, điều kiện Cerami

Ngày nhận bài: 18/11/2019; Ngày hoàn thiện: 26/11/2019; Ngày đăng: 29/11/2019

ON EXISTENCE OF WEAK SOLUTION TO A FRACTIONAL KIRCHHOFF PROBLEM WITH CRITICAL EXPONENT

Pham Thi Thuy¹, Do Thi Mai Huong²

¹University of Education – TNU,

²Thai Nguyen Pedagogical College

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we consider the following nonlocal problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(a + b\|u\|_{X_0}^2)\mathcal{L}_K u & = f(x, u) + \lambda u + \gamma|u|^{2^*_s-2}u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u & = 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda, \gamma > 0$ are real parameters and Ω is an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^3 with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$, $s \in (3/4, 1)$, and the term f is a continuous function satisfying some suitable conditions.

Keywords: Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz condition, Fractional Laplace equation, Mountain Pass Theorem, Kirchhoff type problem, Cerami condition.

Received: 18/11/2019; Revised: 26/11/2019; Published: 29/11/2019

* Corresponding author. Email: p.thuysptn@gmail.com

1 Introduction and main result

In this paper, we consider the following nonlocal problem:

$$\begin{cases} -(a+b\|u\|_{X_0}^2) & \mathcal{L}_K u = f(x, u) + \lambda u \\ & + \gamma|u|^{2s^*-2}u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u & = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega, \end{cases}$$

where λ is a real parameter, γ is a non-negative real parameter, and Ω is an open bounded subset of \mathbb{R}^3 with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$, $s \in (3/4, 1)$, and the term f is a continuous function verifying the conditions stated in the sequel. Moreover, a, b denote two positive real constants and

$$\|u\|_{X_0}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{R}^6} |u(x) - u(y)|^2 K(x-y) dx dy.$$

The \mathcal{L}_K is the integrodifferential operator which is defined as following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_K u(x) &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (u(x+y) + u(x-y) \\ &\quad - 2u(x)) K(y) dy, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where the kernel $K : \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ is such that

$$mK \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3), \quad \text{where } m(x) = \min\{|x|^2, 1\}, \quad (1.2)$$

and there exists $\theta > 0$ such that

$$K(x) \geq \theta|x|^{-(3+2s)} \quad (1.3)$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \{0\}$. A model for K is given by the singular kernel $K(x) = |x|^{-(3+2s)}$ which gives rise to the fractional Laplace operator $(-\Delta)^s$, that may be defined (up to a normalizing constant) by the Riesz potential as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & -(-\Delta)^s u(x) \\ &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{u(x+y) + u(x-y) - 2u(x)}{|y|^{n+2s}} dy \end{aligned}$$

for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Definition 1. We say that $u \in X_0$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} & (a+b\|u\|_{X_0}^2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (u(x) - u(y)) \\ & \quad \times (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) K(x-y) dx dy \\ &= \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) \varphi(x) dx + \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(x) \varphi(x) dx \\ & \quad + \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2s^*-2} u(x) \varphi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in X_0$. Here, the space X_0 is defined by

$$X_0 := \{g \in X : g = 0 \text{ in } x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega\},$$

where the functional space X denotes by the linear space of Lebesgue measurable functions from \mathbb{R}^3 to \mathbb{R} such that the restriction of any function g in X to Ω belong to $L^2(\Omega)$ and the map

$$(x, y) \rightarrow (g(x) - g(y)) \sqrt{K(x-y)}$$

is in $L^2((\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3) \setminus (C\Omega \times C\Omega), dx dy)$, $C\Omega := \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega$.

We denote $F(x, t) := \int_0^t f(x, \tau) d\tau$ and $G(x, t) = f(x, t)t - 4F(x, t)$, for all $(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$.

We assume that $f \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfies following conditions hold:

(f_0) There exists a positive constant C such that

$$|f(x, t)| \leq C(1 + |t|^{q-1}), \quad \forall (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}$$

for some $q \in (4, \frac{6}{3-2s})$;

(f_1) $tf(x, t) \geq 0$ in $\Omega \times \mathbb{R}$;

(f_2) $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f(x, t)}{t^3} = +\infty$, uniformly in $x \in \Omega$.

(f_3) There exist $r > 0, \rho \geq 0, \varphi(x) \geq 0, \varphi(x) \in L^1(\Omega)$ such that

$$4F(x, t) - f(x, t)t \leq \rho|t|^\sigma + \varphi(x) \text{ for all } |t| \geq r,$$

where $\sigma \in [0, 2)$.

(f_4) There is $\delta > 0$ such that

$$F(x, t) \leq ht^2,$$

for every $x \in \Omega$ and $t \in (-\delta, \delta)$, where $h \neq 0$ is a real number.

The condition (f_3) is larger than the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz type condition given in [1] played an important role in the application of Mountain Pass Theorem:

(AR) There exists $\mu > 4$ such that $\mu F(x, t) \leq f(x, t)t$ for $|t|$ large enough and $x \in \Omega$ (see [2]).

Our condition (f_3) is also larger than the condition [3]:

(AR-1) $4F(x, t) \leq f(x, t)t$ for $|t|$ large enough and $x \in \Omega$.

Our result is given as follows:

Theorem 2. *Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^3 with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\Omega$ and $s \in (\frac{3}{4}, 1)$. Let $f \in C(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfies the conditions $(f_0) - (f_4)$. Then there exists $\gamma^* > 0$ such that problem (1.1) has at least one non-trivial weak solution for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in (0, \gamma^*)$.*

In order to study problem (1.1), we consider the Euler-Lagrange equation of energy functional $\mathcal{J}_{K, \lambda, \gamma} : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{K, \lambda, \gamma}(u) &:= \frac{a}{2} \|u\|_{X_0}^2 + \frac{b}{4} \|u\|_{X_0}^4 \\ &- \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{\gamma}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

2 Some preliminary results

Now, we recall some basic results on the spaces X and X_0 . In the sequel we set $Q = \mathbb{R}^6 \setminus \mathcal{O}$, where $\mathcal{O} = C\Omega \times C\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^6$.

The space X is endowed with the norm defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_X &= \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &+ \left(\int_Q |g(x) - g(y)|^2 K(x - y) dx dy \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

It is easily seen that $\|\cdot\|_X$ is a norm on X (see, for instance, [4] for a proof). Furthermore, X_0 is endowed with norm

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{X_0} &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} |g(x) - g(y)|^2 K(x - y) dx dy \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

and $(X_0, \|\cdot\|_{X_0})$ is a Hilbert space (see [4], Lemma 7), with scalar product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle u, v \rangle_{X_0} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (u(x) - u(y)) \\ &\times (v(x) - v(y)) K(x - y) dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

In the following we denote $H^s(\Omega)$ the usual fractional Sobolev space endowed with norm (the so-called Gagliardo norm)

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_{H^s(\Omega)} &= \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \\ &+ \left(\int_{\Omega \times \Omega} \frac{|g(x) - g(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We recall that the space X_0 is nonempty (see Lemma 5.2 [5]). Finally, we recall that the eigenvalue problem driven by $-\mathcal{L}_K$, namely

$$\begin{cases} -\mathcal{L}_K u &= \lambda u \text{ in } \Omega, \\ u &= 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \Omega. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

We know that (2.5) [6] possesses a divergent sequence of positive eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \lambda_{k+1} \leq \dots,$$

whose corresponding eigenfunctions will be denoted by e_k , each eigenvalue λ_k has finite multiplicity. By Proposition 9 in [6], we know that $\{e_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be chosen in such a way that this sequence provides an orthonormal basis in $L^2(\Omega)$ and an orthogonal basis in X_0 .

The following result due to Servadei-Valdioci which give the characteristic for embedding from X_0 into $L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\nu \in [1, 2_s^*]$, $2_s^* = \frac{6}{3-2s}$:

Lemma 1. [7] *Let $K : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ be a function satisfying (1.2)- (1.3). Then, the following assertions hold true:*

a) if Ω is a bounded domain with continuous boundary, then embedding $X_0 \hookrightarrow L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is compact for any $\nu \in [1, 2_s^*)$;
b) the embedding $X_0 \hookrightarrow L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuous for all $\nu \in [1, 2_s^*]$.

From Lemma 1, we have embedding $X_0 \hookrightarrow L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^3)$ is continuous for all $\nu \in [1, 2_s^*]$. Then there exists the best constant

$$S_\nu = \inf_{v \in X_0, v \neq 0} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v(x)|^\nu dx \right)^{2/\nu}}. \quad (2.6)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} &< \mathcal{J}'_{K,\lambda}(u), \varphi \rangle = (a + b \|u\|_{X_0}^2) \\ &\times \int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)) \\ &\times K(x - y) dx dy \\ &- \gamma \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^* - 2} u(x) \varphi(x) dx \\ &- \int_{\Omega} f(x, u(x)) \varphi(x) dx - \lambda \int_{\Omega} u(x) \varphi(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Certainly, solutions of problems (1.1) is critical point of the energy function $\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda}$.

3 Proof of Theorem 2

In [8, 9], Cerami introduced the so-called *Cerami condition*, as a weak version of the Palais-Smale assumption. With our notation, it can be written as follows:

Cerami condition. The function $\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}$ satisfies the *Cerami compactness condition* at level $c \in \mathbb{R}$ if any sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ in X_0 such that $\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u_j) \rightarrow c$ and $(1 + \|u_j\|_{X_0}) \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{X_0}=1} | \langle \mathcal{J}'_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u_j), \varphi \rangle | \rightarrow 0$, admits a strongly convergent subsequence in X_0 .

In order to prove Theorem 2, we need the Mountain Pass Theorem as following:

Theorem 3. [10] Let $(E, \|\cdot\|)$ be a real Banach space. Suppose that $J \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\max\{J(0), J(u_1)\} \leq \alpha < \beta \leq \inf_{\|u\|=\rho} J(u)$$

for some $\alpha < \beta, \rho > 0$ and $u_1 \in E$ with $\|u_1\| > \rho$. Let

$$\Gamma := \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], E) : \gamma(0) = 0, \gamma(1) = u_1\}.$$

Set $c = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J(\gamma(t))$. Then $c \geq \beta > 0$ and there exists a sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \subset E$ such that

$$J(u_j) \rightarrow c, \text{ and } (1 + \|u_j\|)J'(u_j) \rightarrow 0.$$

Moreover, if J satisfies the Cerami condition, then c is a critical value of J .

Lemma 2. [11] Let $\{u_n\}_n$ be a bounded sequence in X_0 . Then, up to a subsequence, there exists $u \in X_0$, two Borel regular measures η and ν , \mathbf{J} denumerable, $x_j \in \bar{\Omega}$, $\nu_j \geq 0, \eta_j \geq 0$ with $\nu_j + \eta_j > 0, j \in \mathbf{J}$ such that $q \in [1, 2_s^*)$,

$$\begin{aligned} &u_n \rightarrow u \text{ weakly in } X_0 \text{ and strong in } L^q(\Omega), \\ &\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dy \xrightarrow{*} d\eta, |u_n|^{2_s^*} \xrightarrow{*} d\nu, \quad (3.1) \end{aligned}$$

$$d\eta \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dy + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{J}} \eta_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$d\nu = |u|^{p_s^*} + \sum_{j \in \mathbf{J}} \nu_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad (3.3)$$

$$\eta_j \geq S_{2_s^*} \nu_j^{2/2_s^*}, \nu_j := \nu(\{x_j\}), \eta_j := \eta(\{x_j\}). \quad (3.4)$$

Using Lemma 2, we get the following result:

Lemma 3. Let $f : \Omega \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function verifying conditions $(f_0) - (f_4)$. Then for any $M > 0$, there exists $\gamma^* > 0$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}$ satisfies the Cerami condition at level $c \leq M$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\gamma \in (0, \gamma^*)$.

Proof. Fix $M > 0$, we set $\gamma^* = \min\{aS_{2_s^*}, B\}$, where A is given later,

$$\begin{aligned} B = &\left[(aS_{2_s^*})^{3/2s} \left(\frac{4s-3}{12(M+A)} \right)^{\frac{2_s^*}{2_s^* - \sigma^*}} \right]^a, a = \\ &\frac{1}{\frac{3}{2s} - \frac{2_s^*}{2_s^* - \sigma^*}}. \text{ Let } \{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ be a Cerami sequence in } X_0 \text{ with level } c. \text{ We split the proof} \end{aligned}$$

into two steps. First, we show that the sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in X_0 and that it admits a subsequence strongly convergent in X_0 .

Step 1. The sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in X_0 . By normal computing, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u_j) - \frac{1}{4} &< \mathcal{J}'_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u_j), u_j > \\ &\geq \frac{a}{4} \|u_j\|_{X_0}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{4} \|u_j\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho}{4} \|u_j\|_{L^{\sigma_*}(\Omega)}^{\sigma_*} - C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

where $C = \int_{\{x \in \Omega: |u_j(x)| \leq r\}} \left(F(x, u_j(x)) - \frac{1}{4} f(x, u_j(x)) u_j(x) \right) dx + C_1$, $C_1 = \frac{\rho|\Omega|}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x) dx$ and $\max\{1, \sigma\} \leq \sigma_* < 2$. Since the embedding from $X_0 \rightarrow L^{\sigma_*}(\Omega)$ is continuous, then there exists the best constant

$$S_{\sigma_*} = \inf_{v \in X_0, v \neq 0} \frac{\iint_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^2}{|x - y|^{3+2s}} dx dy}{\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |v(x)|^{\sigma_*} dx \right)^{2/\sigma_*}}.$$

From (3.5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_{K,\lambda} \|u_j\|_{X_0}^2 &\leq \frac{\rho S_{\sigma_*}^{-\sigma_*/2}}{4} \|u\|_{X_0}^{\sigma_*} \\ &\quad + \kappa(1 + \|u_j\|_{X_0}) + C \end{aligned}$$

for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$, where (see [6], Lemma 16)

$$C_{K,\lambda} = \begin{cases} \frac{a}{4} & \text{if } \frac{\lambda}{a} \leq 0 \\ \frac{a}{4} - \frac{\lambda}{4\lambda_1} & \text{if } 0 < \frac{\lambda}{a} < \lambda_1 \\ \frac{a}{4} - \frac{\lambda}{4\lambda_{k+1}} & \text{if } \lambda_k \leq \frac{\lambda}{a} < \lambda_{k+1}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in X_0 .

Step 2. The property Cerami compactness condition of $\{u_j\}$. Given $\gamma < \gamma_*$ and $c < M$, let us consider a $(C)_c$ sequence $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $J_{K,\lambda,\gamma}$. Then $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in X_0 by Step 1 and X_0 is a reflexive space (being Hilbert space, by Lemma 7 in [4]), up to a subsequence, still denote by $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$, there exist $u_\infty \in X_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &\rightarrow u_\infty \text{ in } L^q(\mathbb{R}^3) \\ u_j &\rightarrow u_\infty \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

as $j \rightarrow +\infty$ and apply to Lemma A.1 in [12], there exists $l \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^3)$ such that

$$\{|u_\infty(x)|, |u_j(x)|\} \leq l(x) \quad (3.7)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$ and for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Note that $C_{K,\lambda} > 0$ and apply Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u_j) - \frac{1}{4} &< \mathcal{J}'_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u_j), u_j > \\ &\geq \gamma \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2_s^*} \right) \|u_j\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\Omega)}^{2_s^*} \\ &\quad - \frac{\rho}{4} |\Omega| \frac{2_s^* - \sigma_*}{2_s^*} \|u_j\|_{L^{2_s^*}(\Omega)}^{\sigma_*} - C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Now, we proceed by some substeps as follows:

Step 2.1. Apply Lemma 2, fix $i_0 \in \mathbf{J}$. Then, either $\nu_{i_0} = 0$ or

$$\nu_{i_0} \geq \left(\frac{aS_{2_s^*}}{\gamma} \right)^{3/2s}.$$

Step 2.2. We claim that $\nu_{i_0} \geq \left(\frac{aS_{2_s^*}}{\gamma} \right)^{3/2s}$ can not occur, hence $\nu_{i_0} = 0$. It is enough to show that

$$\int_{\Omega} d\nu < \left(\frac{aS_{2_s^*}}{\gamma} \right)^{3/2s}. \quad (3.9)$$

First of all, we assume that $\int_{\Omega} d\nu \leq 1$. From the assumption of γ , we have $\gamma < aS_{2_s^*}$, it implies $\frac{aS_{2_s^*}}{\gamma} > 1$, which immediately get (3.9).

Now, we assume that $\int_{\Omega} d\nu > 1$. Since $\{u_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence for $J_{K,\lambda,\gamma}$, take $j \rightarrow \infty$ in (3.8)

and using (3.1), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2_s^*}\right)\gamma \int_{\Omega} d\nu &\leq c + C + \frac{1}{4}|\Omega| \frac{2_s^* - \sigma_*}{2_s^*} \\ &\times \left(\int_{\Omega} d\nu\right)^{\sigma_*/2_s^*} \\ &\leq \left(c + C + \frac{\rho|\Omega|}{4} \frac{2_s^* - \sigma_*}{2_s^*}\right) \left(\int_{\Omega} d\nu\right)^{\sigma_*/2_s^*} \\ &\leq (M + A) \left(\int_{\Omega} d\nu\right)^{\sigma_*/2_s^*}, \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the choice of $c \leq M$ and $A = C + \frac{\rho|\Omega|}{4} \frac{2_s^* - \sigma_*}{2_s^*}$. It implies that

$$\int_{\Omega} d\nu \leq \left[\frac{12(M + A)}{\gamma(4s - 3)}\right] \frac{2_s^*}{2_s^* - \sigma_*}. \quad (3.10)$$

By the choice γ^* , we have

$$\left[\frac{12(M + A)}{\gamma(4s - 3)}\right] \frac{2_s^*}{2_s^* - \sigma_*} < \left(\frac{aS_{2_s^*}}{\gamma}\right)^{3/2s}. \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.10) and (3.11), we get immediately again (3.9). We have been completed the Step 2.2. Hence, we must have $\nu_{i_0} = 0$. Since $i_0 \in \mathbf{J}$ is arbitrary, then from (3.1) and (3.3), we get

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} |u_j(x)|^{2_s^*} dx = \int_{\Omega} |u_{\infty}(x)|^{2_s^*} dx. \quad (3.12)$$

By normal computing, we get $u_j \rightarrow u_0$ strongly convergent in X_0 . \square

Lemma 4. *There exists two constants $\rho, \beta > 0$, such that $\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma} \geq \beta$ for every $u \in X_0$ with $\|u\|_{X_0} = \rho$.*

Proof. By (f_0) and (f_4) , there exists $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$F(x, t) \leq ht^2 + C_2|t|^q, \text{ for all } (x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R}. \quad (3.13)$$

Then from (3.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u) &= \frac{a}{2}\|u\|_{X_0}^2 + \frac{b}{4}\|u\|_{X_0}^4 \\ &\quad - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx - \frac{\gamma}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} F(x, u(x)) \\ &\geq \frac{a}{2}\|u\|_{X_0}^2 + \frac{b}{4}\|u\|_{X_0}^4 - \frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx \\ &\quad - h \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^2 dx - C_2 \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u) &\geq C_{K,\lambda}^* \|u\|_{X_0}^2 + \frac{b}{4}\|u\|_{X_0}^4 \\ &\quad - C_2 \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q dx - \frac{\gamma}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx \\ &\geq \frac{b}{4}\|u\|_{X_0}^4 - C_2 \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^q dx \\ &\quad - \frac{\gamma}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |u(x)|^{2_s^*} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Therefore, from (2.6) and (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u) &\geq \frac{b}{4}\|u\|_{X_0}^4 - C_3\|u\|_{X_0}^q \\ &\quad - C_4\|u\|_{X_0}^{2_s^*} \\ &= \|u\|_{X_0}^4 \left(\frac{b}{4} - C_3\|u\|_{X_0}^{q-4} - C_4\|u\|_{X_0}^{2_s^*-4}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where $C_3 = C_2 S_q^{-q/2}$, $C_4 = \frac{\gamma S_{2_s^*}^{-2_s^*/2}}{2_s^*}$. Since

$h(t) = \frac{b}{4} - C_3 t^{q-4} - C_4 t^{2_s^*-4}$ is continuous on $[0, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = \frac{b}{4} > 0$, then we can

choose $t_0 > 0$ is small such that $h(t) \geq \frac{b}{4} - \varepsilon_1$, for all $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ and all $t \in [0, t_0]$. Special, if we choose $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{b}{8}$, we have $h(t_0) \geq \frac{b}{8}$. It follows

that $\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(u) \geq \frac{bt_0^4}{8} = \beta$ with $\|u\|_{X_0} = t_0 = \rho$. We have completed the proof of Lemma 4. \square

Lemma 5. *There exists $e \in X_0$ with $\|e\|_{X_0} > \rho$ such that $\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(e) < 0$.*

Proof. By argument as [13]. We have

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, je_1(x))}{j^4} dx = +\infty,$$

where $e_1(x)$ is the first eigenfunction of the operator $-\mathcal{L}_K$ in X_0 . We see

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(je_1)}{j^4} &= \frac{a}{2j^2} \|e_1\|_{X_0}^2 - \frac{\lambda}{2j^2} \int_{\Omega} |e_1(x)|^2 dx \\ &+ \frac{b}{4} \|e_1(x)\|_{X_0}^4 \\ &- \frac{\gamma j^{2_s^*-4}}{2_s^*} \int_{\Omega} |e_1(x)|^{2_s^*} dx - \int_{\Omega} \frac{F(x, je_1(x))}{j^4} dx \\ &\rightarrow -\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, there exists $\nu_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $e := \nu_0 e_1 \in X_0$, it follows that $\|e\|_{X_0} > \rho$ and $\mathcal{J}_{K,\lambda,\gamma}(e) < 0$. The conclusion is achieved. \square

Using Mountain Pas Theorem, Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we are easy to get the result of Theorem 2. Note that, we may choose t_0 in Lemma 4 enough small such that

$$\beta = \frac{b}{8} t_0^4 \leq c = M.$$

References

- [1] A. AMBROSETTI, P. RABINOWITZ, "Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications", *J. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 14, pp. 349-381, 1973.
- [2] J. SUN, S. LIU, "Nontrivial solution of Kirchhoff type problems", *Appl. Math. Lett.*, vol. 25, pp. 500-504, 2012.
- [3] J. JIN, X. WU, "Infinitely many radial solutions for Kirchhoff-type problems in \mathbb{R}^N ", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 369, pp. 564-574, 2012.
- [4] R. SERVADEI, E. VALDINOCI, "Mountain Pass solutions for non-local elliptic operators", *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, vol. 389, pp. 887-898, 2012.
- [5] R. SERVADEI, E. VALDINOCI, "Lewy-Stampacchia type estimates for variational inequalities driven by (non) local operators", *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.*, vol. 29, pp. 1091-1126, 2013.
- [6] R. SERVADEI, E. VALDINOCI, "Variational methods for non-local operators of elliptic type", *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, vol. 33, pp. 2105-2137, 2013.
- [7] R. SERVADEI, E. VALDINOCI, "The Brezis-Nirenberg result for the fractional Laplacian", *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 367, pp. 67-102, 2015.
- [8] G. CERAMI, "An existence criterion for the critical points on unbounded manifolds (in Italian)", *Ist. Lombardo Accad. Sci. Lett. Rend. Sez. A*, vol. 112, pp. 332-336, 1978.
- [9] G. CERAMI, "On the existence of eigenvalues for a nonlinear boundary value problem (in Italian)", *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.*, vol. 124, pp. 161-179, 1980.
- [10] S. MOSCONI AND M. SQUASSINA, "Nonlocal problems at near critical growth", *Nonlinear Anal.*, vol. 136, pp. 84-101, 2016.
- [11] M. SCHECHTER, "A variation of the mountain pass lemma and applications", *J. London. Math. Soc.*, vol. 44(2), pp. 491-502, 1991.
- [12] M. WILLEM, "Minimax Theorems", Birkhäuser, Basel, 1996.
- [13] B. M. GIOVANNI, F. TULONE, "An existence result for fractional Kirchhoff-type equations", *Journal of Analysis and its Applications*, vol. 35, pp. 181-197, 2016.

